

# Ideals and polynomial rings

Jonas van der Schaaf

First it's probably good to give some concrete examples of prime ideals as I didn't show any.

**Example 1.** Consider the ring  $\mathbb{Z}$  and the ideal  $(2) = \{2x : x \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  we discussed last week. Then  $(2)$  is a prime ideal so  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  is a domain. We prove  $(2)$  is a prime ideal:

Suppose  $ab \in (2)$ : this means that  $ab = 2x$  for some  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  so 2 divides  $ab$ . Because 2 is a prime number this means that 2 divides either  $a$  or  $b$  (or both). Therefore,  $a \in (2)$  or  $b \in (2)$  proving that  $(2)$  is a prime ideal.

This proof works more generally: for any prime number  $p$  the ideal  $(p)$  is a prime ideal. This is where the name "prime" comes from.

The following is also something we'll need:

**Lemma 1.** For any ring morphism  $f : R \rightarrow S$  the kernel  $\ker f = \{x \in R : f(x) = 0\}$  is an ideal.

*Proof.* The kernel is the kernel of  $f$  seen as an additive morphism, so it is definitely a subgroup. We show that  $ax \in \ker f$  for all  $a \in R$  and  $x \in \ker f$ :

$$\begin{aligned} f(ax) &= f(a)f(x) \\ &= f(a)0 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

## 1 Evaluation maps

**Proposition 1.** Let  $k$  be a field and write  $k[x]$  for the polynomial ring. Then any  $r \in k$  gives a ring morphism  $f_r : k[x] \rightarrow k$  determined by  $\varphi_r(x) = r$ .

*Proof.* If  $\varphi_r(x) = r$  is true, then by the axioms of ring morphisms for any polynomial  $\sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i$  we must have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_r \left( \sum_i a_i x^i \right) &= \sum_i \varphi_r(a_i) \varphi_r(x)^i \\ &= \sum_i \varphi_r(a_i) r^i. \end{aligned}$$

We can define that  $a \in k$  we have  $\varphi_r(a) = a$ , i.e.  $\varphi_r$  does nothing on  $k$  itself. Then we get

$$\varphi_r \left( \sum_i a_i x^i \right) = \sum_i a_i r^i = f(r)$$

for any polynomial. The map  $\varphi_r$  just fills in  $x = r$  in any polynomial. We show that this defines a ring morphism.

Because  $\varphi_r$  does nothing with  $k$  and the  $0, 1$  or  $k[x]$  are the  $0, 1$  from  $k$  this means that these are preserved.

Now we show this map is additive: take  $f = \sum_i a_i x^i$  and  $g = \sum_j b_j x^j$  two polynomials. Then their sum is defined as  $\sum_k (a_k + b_k) x^k$ . We apply the evaluation morphism to get

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_r(f + g) &= \varphi_r \left( \sum_k (a_k + b_k) x^k \right) \\ &= \sum_k (a_k + b_k) \varphi_r(x)^k \\ &= \sum_k a_k r^k + \sum_k b_k r^k \\ &= \varphi_r(f) + \varphi_r(g).\end{aligned}$$

You can do a similar proof for multiplicativity.

Therefore, this is a ring morphism. □

We will look at the ideals of polynomial rings over fields. In order to do this we're going to need a proposition which I will not prove.

**Proposition 2.** *If  $k$  is a field, then all ideals  $I \subseteq k[x]$  are of the form  $(f)$  for some  $f \in k[x]$ . You can find such an  $f$  by taking the polynomial of lowest degree contained in  $I$ .*

Now we can look at the kernel of the evaluation map.

**Proposition 3.** *If  $r \in k$  then the kernel of the evaluation morphism  $\varphi_r : k[x] \rightarrow k$  is exactly the ideal  $(x - r) \subseteq k[x]$ .*

*Proof.* We have that  $\varphi_r(x - r) = r - r = 0$ . Therefore, the polynomial  $x - r$  is contained in the kernel  $\ker \varphi_r$ . This means that  $(x - r) \subseteq \ker \varphi_r$ .

Now by the previous unproven proposition there is some  $f \in k[x]$  such that  $(f) = \ker \varphi_r$  and  $f$  is the element of lowest degree in  $\ker \varphi_r$ . If  $f \neq x - r$  then it must have degree lower than 1, so it has degree 0. This means it is a constant  $a_0 \in k^\times = k \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  $a_0^{-1}a = 1 \in \ker \varphi_r$  so  $\varphi_r(1) = 0$ . This is impossible as  $\varphi_r(a) = a$  for all  $a \in k$  and a field cannot have  $1 = 0$ .

From this we conclude that  $(x - r) = \ker \varphi_r$ . □

One can prove that  $k[x]/(x - r) = k[x]/\ker \varphi_r$  is naturally isomorphic to  $k$  with the natural isomorphism given by  $\bar{f} \mapsto \varphi_r(f)$ . In this quotient we have essentially set  $x - r = 0$  so now  $x$  has all the algebraic properties of  $r$ . We will now look at what happens when we try to create elements with more complex algebraic properties.

## 2 Evaluating in elements not in the ring

The idea of creating field extensions is that  $x \in k[x]$  is a “generic” element in some sense: there are no algebraic relations it has to anything in  $k$ . We can give it some relation to  $k$  by taking the quotient by an ideal:  $(x - r)$  essentially said that  $x$  has exactly the same properties as  $r$ : they are equal in the quotient field. Now we look at what happens if we divide by more complex polynomials.

**Example 2.** We define the ring

$$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) = \{a + b\sqrt{2} : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}.$$

We define addition and multiplication by setting  $\sqrt{2}^2 = 2$  and expanding expressions using distributivity. This is not only a ring but also a field with inverses  $(a + b\sqrt{2})^{-1} = \frac{1}{a^2 - 2b^2}(a - b\sqrt{2})$ .

This ring is not isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Q}$ :  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational and therefore not an element of the fractions. We try to construct this ring using quotients of polynomials.

To do this we construct some ring containing  $\mathbb{Q}$  and an element with the algebraic properties of  $\sqrt{2}$ . The “defining” property of  $\sqrt{2}$  is of course that  $\sqrt{2}^2 = 2$ . We try to emulate this by considering the quotient  $k[x]/(x^2 - 2)$ . Here the coset  $\bar{x}$  has the property that  $\bar{x}^2 = 2$  and this will take on the role of  $\sqrt{2}$ . It turns out that this quotient ring is exactly the same one as above: the two are isomorphic and there is an isomorphism sending  $\bar{x}$  to  $\sqrt{2}$ . The isomorphism  $k[x]/(x^2 - 2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  is given by the map  $\bar{f} \mapsto f(\sqrt{2})$ . You can see this as a natural extension of the evaluation maps we saw earlier.

Notice that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is still contained in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ . All elements  $a + b\sqrt{2}$  with  $b = 0$  are just elements of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

One can add multiple new “algebraic” elements to a field repeatedly to get larger and larger fields, leading us naturally to the definition of a field extension:

**Definition 1.** Let  $k$  be a field and  $k' \subseteq k$  a subring that is also a field. Then we call  $k$  a field extension of  $k'$ .

**Example 3.** In the previous example we saw that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a subfield of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  and therefore  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  is a field extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Example 4.** You could add  $\sqrt[3]{3}$  to  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  by taking the quotient  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})[y]/(y^3 - 3)$ . This is a field extension of both  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ .